

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,395	08/19/2003	Frederik Marcel Van Der Vliet	LT2700	6129	
ATTN: Travis	7590 04/16/200 Dodd	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICE	S OF TRAVIS L. DOD	WOOD, KEVIN 8			
2490 Heynema Fallbrook, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, .		2874			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/644,395		VLIET ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kevin S. Wood	2874		

	Kevin S. Wood	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLT WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period oxtunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b 			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-18,20-22 and 35-70</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/Kevin S Wood/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2874

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has thoroughly reviewed the applicant's arguments, however the examiner firmly believes the cited reference, U.S. Patent No. 5,586,209 (Matsuura) reasonably and properly meets all the claimed limitations.

The applicant argues that the Matsuura reference does not teach that each of the waveguides with list agree fully dispress with the sarguments. It is unclear what limited definition the applicant is using for the term "intersecting". The waveguides in Figure 3 though Figures 6 all meet at physically and optically couple at intersections and thus meet any reasonable definition for the term "intersecting". The waveguides in Figures 6 all meet at physically and optically couple. Figure 6 shows that each waveguide has an expanded multimode section and therefore meets a broad, but reasonable definition of being a multimode waveguide. The multimode sections are shown at the intersections of each of the waveguides. The Matsura reference clearly shows waveguides, each having at least one multimode section, intersecting so that light is from input waveguides is coupled into output waveguides.